arXiv:1601.05145v3 [hep-ph] 20 Apr 2016

Glueball dynamics in the hot plasma

Nikolai Kochelev[a,b1]

[a] *Institute of Modern Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Lanzhou 730000, China*

[b] *Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna, Moscow region, 141980 Russia*

Abstract

We discuss the glueball contribution to the equation of state (EoS) of hot gluon matter below and above T_c. It is shown that the strong changing of masses of scalar and pseudoscalar glueballs near T_c plays very important role in the thermodynamics of $SU(3)$ gauge theory. In particular, we give the arguments that these glueballs become massless at $T_G \approx 1.1 T_c$ and this phenomenon is crucial in understanding of the mystery in the behavior of trace anomaly founded in the lattice calculation.

[1]Email address: kochelev@theor.jinr.ru

The description of the thermodynamics of the pure $SU(3)$ gauge theory is one of the benchmarks of the our understanding of the properties of the Quark-Gluon Plasma (QGP). Recently a very precise lattice results for EoS of this theory at finite T below and above deconfinement temperature T_c were presented [1]. They lead to the challenge in our understanding of the QCD dynamics at finite temperatures. One of the puzzles is a very spectacular behavior of the trace anomaly, $I/T^4 = (\epsilon - 3p)/T^4$, as a function of T. In particular, just above T_c it rapidly grows till $T_G \approx 1.1T_c$ and then it decays as $I/T^4 \sim 1/T^2$ till $T \approx 5T_c$. Such behaviour was found for the first time by Pisarski by analysis of pioneer lattice calculation in [2] and was called by him as a "fuzzy bags" manifestation [3] (see also the discussion of this phenomenon within the AdS/QCD approach [4]). The non-zero value of trace anomaly shows the deviation of EoS from the ideal gluon gas and, therefore, gives the very important information about the interaction between the gluons. It is known that below T_c the EoS for pure $SU(3)$ is described rather well by the gas of the massive glueballs [5, 1]. In this case the masses and spins of the glueballs carry the information about interaction of the gluons inside glueballs (see review [6]). What can be happened with glueballs and how their properties might be changed above T_c is still opened question and only few studies were done in this direction [7, 8, 9].

In this Letter we give the arguments on the importance of the scalar and pseudoscalar glueballs in EoS of pure SU(3) gauge theory above T_c. In particular, it will be shown that the most likely scenario is that these two glueballs become massless at $T_G \approx 1.1T_c$ and this phenomenon is crucial in our understanding of the trace anomaly behavior above T_c. Our starting point is the relation between lowest mass of scalar glueball, m_G, and gluon condensate, $G^2 =< 0|\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\mu\nu}|0 >$ at $T = 0$, which is naturally appeared in the dilaton approach [10, 11]

$$m_G^2 f_G^2 = \frac{11N_c}{6} < 0|\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}G^a_{\mu\nu}G^a_{\mu\nu}|0 >, \tag{1}$$

where f_G is glueball coupling constant to gluons. The lattice calculations show that gluon condensate is decreased roughly by factor two at $T = T_c$ [12, 13, 14, 15] due to the strong suppression of it's electric part and slightly above T_c the condensate is vanishing very rapidly due to the cancelation between its magnetic and electric parts [14]. The temperature behavior of the condensate at $T_G \geq T \geq T_c$ can be described by formula [15]

$$G^2(T) = G^2\Big[1 - \Big(\frac{T}{T_G}\Big)^n\Big] \tag{2}$$

with $n = 4$. For $T > T_G$ the gluon condensate is zero [1]. If the relation Eq.1 is valid also above T_c, then the mass of the scalar glueball should go to zero at $T = T_G$. The possibility of the collapse 0^{++} glueball to the massless state above T_c due to strong attraction between

[1] We should mention that the particular value of n is not very important in our qualitative discussion on the EoS below.

two gluons in this channel induced by both the perturbative gluon exchange and non-perturbative interaction with the instanton-antiinstanton molecules, was pointed out in [16, 9]. Based on these considerations, we will assume that the mass of lowest scalar glueball should be zero at $T = T_G$. We would like to emphasise that the Debye screening can not lead to the breakdown of the lowest mass scalar glueball state in the plasma because even at $T = 0$ the size of this glueball is very small $R_G \leq 0.2$ fm [17, 18, 19] (see discussion in [9]). The important question is what will be happened with other glueballs above T_c? In [9] it was argued that lowest pseudoscalar glueball 0^{-+} should be degenerate with lowest scalar glueball at $T > T_c$ because the difference between two masses might be related to the admixture of so-called random instanton contribution to the vacuum state which is strongly suppressed at $T > T_c$ [20]. Therefore, lowest preudoscalar glueball should become massless at $T = T_G$ as well. It is evident, that just after T_c all others heavy glueballs should decay very fast to these light glueballs. Therefore, we come to conclusion that thermodynamics of the theory at $T_G \geq T \geq T_c$ is determined by these light glueballs only. In this phase the gluons are still confined inside two glueballs and pressure is

$$P(T))_{T_G>T>T_c} = -T\frac{N_G}{2\pi^2}\int_0^\infty k^2 dk Log\Big[1 - exp\Big(-\frac{\sqrt{k^2+m^2(T)}}{T}\Big)\Big], \tag{3}$$

where $N_G = 2$ for two glueball states. The trace anomaly for the case of the temperature's dependent mass of the boson in hot plasma is given by formula [21]

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{I(T)}{T^4} = T\frac{d}{dT}\Big(\frac{P}{T^4}\Big) &= \frac{N_G}{2\pi^2}\int_0^\infty dx \frac{x^2}{\sqrt{x^2+m(T)^2/T^2}(e^{\sqrt{x^2+m(T)^2/T^2}}-1)} \\ &\times \frac{m(T)^2}{T^2}\Big[1 - \frac{T}{m(T)}\frac{dm(T)}{dT}\Big],\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where according with Eq.1 and Eq.2

$$m(T) = m_0\sqrt{1-\Big(\frac{T}{T_G}\Big)^4}. \tag{5}$$

Above T_G the massless glueballs can dissociate to the massless gluons due to the reaction $G + G \to gluon + gluon$. Therefore, at $T > T_G$ it should be mixed glueball-gluon phase. At very large temperature we should have free gluon gas only and the contribution of glueballs to the pressure should be suppressed to respect to free gluon case by factor $(T_G/T)^\lambda$ with $\lambda > 0$. Based on the scaling in trace anomaly $I(T)/T^4(T/T_c)^2$ observed in lattice calculation [1] we will use $\lambda = 2$. With this assumption the pressure above T_G is the sum of glueball and gluon pressures

$$P(T)_{T>T_G} = \frac{N_G\pi^2}{90}T^4\Big(\frac{T_G}{T}\Big)^2 + \frac{N_g\pi^2}{90}T^4\Big[1-\Big(\frac{T_G}{T}\Big)^2\Big], \tag{6}$$

where $N_g = 2(N_c^2 - 1) = 16$ is the number of the gluon degrees of freedom. It is natural to assume also that T_G is related to the value of the temperature at which the maximum of trace anomaly was observed. Therefore, we will put $T_G \approx 1.1 T_c$. In this case for the trace anomaly at $T = T_G$, Eq.6, gives $\Delta_{max} = I(T_G)/T_G^4 = (N_g - N_G)\pi^2/45 = 3.07$ which is in the good agreement with the lattice result $\Delta_{max}^{lattice} = 2.48$ [1]. Futhermore, the matching trace anomaly values in light glueball and glueball-gluon phases at $T = T_G$ gives the relation between parameter m_0 in glueball mass formula and T_G

$$m_0 = \sqrt{3\Delta_{max}} T_G. \tag{7}$$

For the $T_c \approx 270$ MeV in pure $SU(3)$ gauge theory we obtain $m_0 = 901$ MeV and $m(T_c) = 507$ MeV. It means that indeed in the temperature's range $T_G > T > T_c$ we have very light scalar and pseudiscalar glueballs, $m(T_c) > m(T) > 0$. In the Figs.1,2 the



Figure 1: The trace anomaly $I(T)/T^4$ (left panel), and its scaling value $(I(T)/T^4)(T/T_c)^2$ (right panel) as the function of T/T_c. The lattice data presented by the bold spots [1].

result of the trace anomaly and pressure calculation is presented. One can see they are in the qualitative agreement with the lattice data at the $5T_c \geq T \geq T_c$ [1]. We would like to emphasize that our simple model does not include perturbative QCD corrections to EoS. Therefore, it might be possible to improve the agreement of the model with the data after the consideration of such corrections. It should be also mentioned that our model at $T > T_G$, Eq.6, predicts the small violation of the $2(N_c^2 - 1)$ scaling for the trace anomaly observed in the lattice calculation for the large N_c case [22]. [2] It would be interesting to check this prediction with a more precise lattice calculation.

In summary, we can conclude that there are three phases in the pure $SU(3)$ gauge theory at finite T. At $T < T_c$ there is the gas of the very massive glueballs. Just above T_c at $T_G \geq T \geq T_c$ the phase with the light scalar and pseudoscalar mesons is dominated. After

[2]The author is grateful to Oleg Andreev which attracted his attention to this phenomenon.



Figure 2: The pressure p/T^4 as the function of T/T_c in comparison with the lattice data [1].

$T_G \approx 1.1T_c$ we have mixed massless gluons and scalar-pseudoscalar massless glueballs phase. It is evident that this phenomenon should also give the influence to the EoS of the full QCD with the light quarks. In this case, the mixing between the glueballs and the quark-antiquark states should be considered as well. The study of these effects is the subject of our future investigation.

1 Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Adriano Di Giacomo, Oleg Andreev, Ernst-Michael Ilgenfritz, Robert Pisarski and Vicente Vento for useful discussions. I deeply appreciate the warm hospitality by Pengming Zhang at the Institute of Modern Physics, CAS in Lanzhou, where this work was completed. This work was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 11035006 and 11175215), and by the Chinese Academy of Sciences visiting professorship for senior international scientists (Grant No. 2013T2J0011). The work was initiated through the series of APCTP-BLTP JINR Joint Workshops.

References

[1] S. Borsanyi, G. Endrodi, Z. Fodor, S. D. Katz and K. K. Szabo, JHEP **1207** (2012) 056.

[2] G. Boyd, J. Engels, F. Karsch, E. Laermann, C. Legeland, M. Lutgemeier and B. Petersson, Nucl. Phys. B **469** (1996) 419.

[3] R. D. Pisarski, Prog. Theor. Phys. Suppl. **168** (2007) 276 doi:10.1143/PTPS.168.276 [hep-ph/0612191].

[4] O. Andreev, Phys. Rev. D **76** (2007) 087702.

[5] H. B. Meyer, Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 051502.

[6] V. Mathieu, N. Kochelev and V. Vento, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **18** (2009) 1.

[7] V. Vento, Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 055012.

[8] N. Kochelev and D. P. Min, Phys. Lett. B **650** (2007) 239.

[9] N. Kochelev, Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett. **13** (2016) no.2, 149.

[10] J. Lanik, Phys. Lett. B **144** (1984) 439.

[11] J. R. Ellis and J. Lanik, Phys. Lett. B **150** (1985) 289.

[12] S. H. Lee, Phys. Rev. D **40** (1989) 2484.

[13] A. Di Giacomo, E. Meggiolaro and H. Panagopoulos, Nucl. Phys. B **483** (1997) 371.

[14] S. H. Lee and K. Morita, Phys. Rev. D **79** (2009) 011501.

[15] D. E. Miller, Phys. Rept. **443** (2007) 55.

[16] E. V. Shuryak and I. Zahed, Phys. Rev. D **70** (2004) 054507.

[17] T. Schaefer and E. V. Shuryak, Phys. Rev. Lett. **75** (1995) 1707.

[18] P. de Forcrand and K. F. Liu, Phys. Rev. Lett. **69** (1992) 245.

[19] J. Liang, Y. Chen, W. F. Chiu, L. C. Gui, M. Gong and Z. Liu, Phys. Rev. D **91** (2015) 5, 054513.

[20] E. M. Ilgenfritz and E. V. Shuryak, Phys. Lett. B **325** (1994) 263; E. M. Ilgenfritz and E. V. Shuryak, Nucl. Phys. B **319** (1989) 511; T. Schaefer, E. V. Shuryak and J. J. M. Verbaarschot, Phys. Rev. D **51** (1995) 1267; T. Schaefer and E. V. Shuryak, Phys. Rev. D **53** (1996) 6522.

[21] P. Castorina, D. E. Miller and H. Satz, Eur. Phys. J. C **71** (2011) 1673

[22] M. Panero, Phys. Rev. Lett. **103** (2009) 232001, [arXiv:0907.3719 [hep-lat]].